YORK (Pa.) GAZETTE & DAILY

3Approved For Release 2000/04/98

Edit Front

Date:

INTELLIGENCE

One of the key appointments
President-elect Kennedy was that Allen W. Dulles to continue in office as head of the super-secret Central Intelligence Agency. This particular appointment, like that of FBI director J. Edgar Hoover, was made in the face of continuing criticism of many of the agency's apparent policies and methods of operation - only "apparent" because of the excessive secrecy surrounding its activities, another source of much criticism.

The criticism of CIA under Dulles has been centered on what the agency has been up to in the way of cloak-anddagger melodramatics, which, when bumbled, as they have been on a number of occasions, have made the U.S. look something like a bull in the china shop of world affairs, the U-2 episode being the worst example. But in reflectin on the function and purposes of a government institution like the CIA, we have begun to wonder whether what the agency has not been doing isn't even more of a threat to a sane foreign police than what it has been doing.

Charged with providing information relative to the nation's security needs, an intelligence outfit is required to concern itself with all the military capabilities of any potential enemy or adversary. But such capabilities comprise only half the kind of information needed. The other half has to do with other countries intentions regarding their military capabilities.

It is not enough for accurate evaluation for the CIA to furnish evidence that Britain and France have been developing a strong nuclear warfare potential. It must also provide factual, material on the possible uses to which such a potential might be put. Has the CIA been active in gathering such information about other parts of the world?

25X1A

For some time more and more sponsible Americans have been traveling to Russia and reporting back on strong perce and disarmament sentiment there even at the official level. Yesterday, for brample, we reprinted such a report on the opposite page by Protonor Louis Schill of Harvard who attended the Moscow meeting of the Pugwash scientists in December. Has the CIA made analyses of this peace schilment?

In the Soviet Union, of the nature of decision, which means it is a matter the Kremlin-Peking dispute which has of the basic directive to the agency from apparently ended for the time being, on high A directive to provide all pos-in a victory for the disarmament-center. The intelligence including whatever ed, war is not inevitable doctrine of peaceful intentions there might be in the Premier Khrushchey

With such objective and dispassionate intelligence the CIA would be serving information.

In the end, however, this is a polity legitligence.

Gommunist world was, perhaps, not apt to have been given under the retiring what is supposed to be a strictly information-gathering function. But it is sees its function as conducting political warfare against Communism, as it has seemed to see it under Dulles leafership it. It is the proting to bit to be disarrantent question, this will doubtless require a new set of disarrantent Question, this will doubtless require a new set of disarrantent Question, this will doubtless require a new set of disarrantent Question, this will doubtless require a new set of disarrantent Question against Communism, as it has seemed to proting to bit. Dulles and his CIA, so see it under Dulles leafership it. It is their not only refrain from openly not likely to consecre lisely with such information.